

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Maps

References

Section 1: Abstract and Acknowledgements

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Nature for Niagara's Future | 1-1 – 1-2 |
| Acknowledgements | 1-3 – 1-4 |
| Fig. 1 : Final Scenario Performance Relative to Science Thresholds | 1-2 |
| Fig. 2: Final Scenario Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator | 1-2 |

Section 2: Executive Summary

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Fig. 3: Study Area Map | 2-1 |
| Need for the Project | 2-2 |
| The Natural Heritage Areas Inventory | 2-2 – 2-3 |
| The Process: | |
| Vision Statement | 2-3 – 2-4 |
| Goals | 2-4 |
| Guiding Principles | 2-4 |
| Organizational Structure | 2-4 – 2-6 |
| Methodologies | |
| Consensus- based Approach | 2-6 – 2-7 |
| MARXAN | 2-7 – 2-9 |
| Project Funding | 2-9 |
| Project Results and Recommendations | 2-9 – 2-11 |
| Fig. 4 : Final Scenario Performance Relative to Science Thresholds | 2-10 |
| Fig. 5: Final Scenario Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator | 2-11 |
| Conclusion | 2-11 |
| Report Format | 2-11 – 2-12 |

Section 3: Socio-Political Constraints

| | |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Introduction | 3-1 – 3-3 |
| Conservation Lands | 3-3 – 3-4 |
| Aggregate Lands | 3-4 |
| Agricultural Lands | 3-4 – 3-5 |
| Urban Lands | 3-5 |
| Cultural Lands | 3-5 – 3-6 |

Section 4: Constraints Decisions

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Table 1: Constraints Decisions | |
|--------------------------------|--|

Section 5: Target Setting

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Introduction | 5-1 |
| Identifying Objectives and Setting Targets | 5-2 – 5-3 |
| Concept of Distribution | 5-3 |

Types of Ecological Objectives/Targets
Fact Sheet lay-out

5-3 – 5-4
5-4

Section 6: Target Fact Sheets

Fact Sheet: Biodiversity Representation

Table 2: Biodiversity Surrogates

Fig. 9: Cumulative Biodiversity Representation Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 10: Cumulative Biodiversity Representation Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Biodiversity by Soil Landscape

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Forest Cover

Fig. 13: Forest Cover Habitat Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 14: Forest Cover Habitat Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Forest Cover by Soil Landscape

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Forest Interior

Fig. 15: Interior Forest Habitat Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 16: Interior Forest Habitat Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Forest Interior by Soil Landscape

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Forest Patch Size

Table 3: Forest Patch Size Statistics

Fig. 17: Forest Patch Size Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 18: Forest Patch Size Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Forest Patch Size by Soil Landscape

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Forest Age Class

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Forest Proximity of Patches

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Wetland Cover

Fig. 23: Wetland Cover Habitat Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 24: Wetland Cover Habitat Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Wetland Cover by Soil Landscape

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Wetland Patch Size

Fig. 25: Wetland Patch Size Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 26: Wetland Patch Size Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Wetland Patch Size by Soil Landscape

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Wetland Proximity of Patches

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Riparian Cover

Fig. 21: Riparian Cover Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 22: Riparian Cover Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Riparian Cover within 30m of Watercourses by Soil Landscape

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – Adjacent Upland Cover

Fig. 11: Adjacent Upland Cover Performance Relative to Science Thresholds
Fig. 12: Adjacent Upland Cover Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator
Map: Adjacent Upland Cover for Wetlands by Soil Landscape

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – **Other Habitat Types**
Fig. 19: Other Unique Habitat Types Performance Relative to Science Thresholds
Fig. 20: Other Unique Habitat Types Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: Course Scale Habitat – **Remoteness**

Fact Sheet: Ecological Function: **Fine Scale Habitat**

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Surface Water – **Forest Cover**
Fig. 29: Forest Cover Surface Water Performance Relative to Science Thresholds
Fig. 30: Forest Cover Surface Water Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator
Map: Forest Cover by Watershed Planning Areas

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Surface Water – **Wetland Cover**
Fig. 41: Wetland Cover Surface Water Performance Relative to Science Thresholds
Fig. 42: Wetland Cover Surface Water Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator
Map: Wetland Cover by Watershed Planning Areas
Map: Wetland Cover by Subwatershed

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Surface Water – **Riparian Area Cover**
Fig. 39: Riparian Cover Performance Relative to Science Thresholds
Fig. 40: Riparian Cover Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator
Map: Riparian Cover within 30m of Watercourses by Watershed Planning Areas

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Surface Water – **Headwater Catchment Cover**
Fig. 31: Headwater Cover Performance Relative to Science Thresholds
Fig. 32: Headwater Cover Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator
Map: Upland Headwater Cover by Watershed Planning Area
Map: Lowland Headwater Cover by Watershed Planning Area

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Surface Water – **Largest Patch**

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Groundwater – **Discharge**
Fig. 27: Groundwater Discharge Area Cover Performance Relative to Science Thresholds
Fig. 28: Groundwater Discharge Area Cover Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator
Map: Groundwater Discharge: Coldwater System Cover

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Groundwater – **High Importance for Recharge (Fonthill Kame)**
Table 4: Cover Infiltration Values
Table 5: Cover Infiltration Values
Fig. 35: Groundwater Recharge – High Importance Cover Performance Relative to Science Thresholds
Fig. 36: Groundwater Recharge – High Importance Cover Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator
Map: Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas: High Importance Area Cover

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Groundwater – **Moderate Importance for Recharge**

Fig. 37: Groundwater Recharge – Moderate Importance Cover Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 38: Groundwater Recharge – Moderate Importance Cover Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas: Moderate Importance System Cover

Fact Sheet: Hydrologic Function – Groundwater – **Quality**

Fig. 33: Groundwater Quality HVA Cover Performance Relative to Science Thresholds

Fig. 34: Groundwater Quality HVA Cover Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator

Map: Groundwater Quality: Highly Vulnerable Aquifer Cover

Section 7: The Learning Scenarios

Introduction

7-1

Scenarios descriptions

7-1 – 7-4

Maps

Learning Scenarios 1 and 2: Best 30% Over Best 50% of What's Left

Learning Scenarios 2 and 3: Best 50% Over Best 60% of What's Left

Learning Scenarios 2,3,4,5 and 6: Best 50% Over Best 60%, 70%, 80% and 90% of What's Left

Learning Scenarios 3 and 4: Best 60% Over Best 70% of What's Left

Learning Scenarios 4 and 5: Best 70% Over Best 80% of What's Left

Learning Scenarios 5 and 6: Best 80% Over Best 90% of What's Left

Learning Scenario 7: Ecological Functions Only

Learning Scenario 8: Hydrologic Functions Only

Learning Scenario 9: Biodiversity Representation Only

Learning Scenarios 10 and 12: No Distribution of Targets at 50% and 80%

Learning Scenarios 10,12,13,14 and 15: No Distribution at 50% Over No Distribution at 60%, 70%, 80% and 90%

Learning Scenario 16: Urban Areas Prescribed

Learning Scenario 17: Natural Cover Excluded in Urban Built Areas

Learning Scenario 18: Natural Cover Excluded in Urban Areas and Greenfields

Learning Scenario 19: No Distribution, No Meadows

Learning Scenario: No Distribution at 80%, No Meadows, and Urban Areas Prescribed

Table 6: What if Scenario Comparisons- October 27

Table 7: What of Scenario Comparisons-November 10

Section 8: Ancillary Mapping

Map 1: Natural Community Types from NAI ELC Mapping

Map 2: Cumulative Abundance of Target Values

Map 3: Urban, Agriculture, and Aggregates Matrix

Map 4: Social Political Constraints and Costs

Section 9: Project Results and Recommendations

Key Messages

9-1

Identified Data Gaps

9-2

| | |
|--|------------|
| The Final Scenarios | |
| Baseline Comparator | 9-2 – 9-3 |
| Fig. 6: Cumulative Final Scenario Performance Relative to Science Thresholds | 9-3 |
| Map: The Baseline Comparator | |
| Most Constrained Scenario | 9-4 – 9-9 |
| Fig. 7: Cumulative Final Scenario Performance Relative to Baseline Comparator | 9-4 |
| Map: The Most Constrained | |
| Compromise Scenario | 9-9 – 9-10 |
| Fig. 8: Cumulative Final Scenario Performance Relative to Area Captured Under Baseline | 9-10 |
| Map: The Compromise | |
| Table 8: Final Scenario Comparisons | |
| Table 9: Ecological Objectives Performance Summary Statistics for Final Scenarios | |
| Section 10: Appendices | |
| Table 10: Individual Target Achievements Relative to Science Thresholds | |
| Section 11: Appendices | |
| Glossary of Terms | |
| Section 12: Appendices | |
| Terms of Reference | |
| Scenario Development Team – Manual | |
| Section 13: Appendices | |
| Steering Committee Meeting Agendas | |
| Section 14: Appendices | |
| Steering Committee Meeting Minutes | |
| Section 15: Appendices | |
| Scenario Development Team Meeting Agendas | |
| Section 16: Appendices | |
| Scenario Development Team Meeting Minutes | |