


Nature for Niagara - Final Scenario Comparisons

		A	20	B
		The Baseline Comparator Scenario (56.4% of Minimum Science Thresholds Achieved)	The Compromise Scenario (75.6% of value based on area held by the Baseline Comparator)	The Most Constrained Scenario (34.2% of of Minimum Science Thresholds Achieved, 54.6% of value based on area held by the Baseline Comparator)
Scenario Description		Baseline Targets as set by SDT with Costs for Agriculture, Aggregate, and Urban Constraints.	Best 80% of What Exists For Features, No Geographic Distribution, No Meadows in Contributions, Urban Areas Prescribed	Baseline Targets as set by SDT with <i>Exclusion Status</i> for Agriculture, Aggregate, and Urban Constraints.
Percent of Land Type Occupied by Scenario	Percent of Urban Lands	21.6	9.7	8.4
	Percent of Agricultural Soils	26.7	17.3	11.2
	Percent of Bedrock Aggregate Deposits	23.5	12.9	12.0
Percent of Land Type Contributing to Scenario	Urban Lands	13.3	9.5	11.2
	Agricultural Soils	75.7	78.0	68.7
	Bedrock Aggregate Deposits	9.0	7.8	10.0
Percent of Total Landbase	Scenario	27.6	17.4	12.7
Percent of Natural Cover Inventory	Scenario	97.3	61.2	44.7
	Successional	92.0	12.6	26.9
	Swamp	99.7	81.7	64.1
	Other Wetlands	98.2	80.5	41.4
	Upland Wooded	90.4	72.5	39.8
	Forested (incl Swamps)	95.5	77.5	51.3
Percent Achievement Relative to Value Captured in Baseline Comparator	Total Solution		75.6	54.6
	Biodiversity Representation		72.8	48.1
	Ecological Functions		78.3	56.8
	Hydrologic Functions		73.6	54.6
Percent Achievement Relative to Total Value Available in Inventory	Total Solution	97.9	74.0	53.5
	Biodiversity Representation	97.8	71.2	47.1
	Ecological Functions	96.9	75.9	55.1
	Hydrologic Functions	99.1	72.8	54.1